

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,121		11/12/2003	Larry R. Pulkrabek	59516-297217	6227	
25764	7590	06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
FAEGRE			GRAHAM, MARK S			
PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEA	POLIS, M	N 55402	•	3711		
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e)						
	Application No. Applicant(s)							
Advisory Action	10/706,121	PULKRABEK, LARRY R.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Mark S. Graham	3711						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress					
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normalization (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires	n the same day as filing a Notice or owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
The period for reply expiresmonths included a date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	DWITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Netice of Appeal was filled an Abrief in som	nliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	s filed within two man	the of the date					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: New limitations on the covering layer. (Se								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 6, 9, 13, 16, 17, 19-22, 30, 31, 3</u>	7-39 43 45 49 51 52 54-57							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	7-00, 40, 40, 40, 07, 02, 04 07.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after a	entry is below or attac	ched					

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ______.

13. Other: _____.

Mark S. Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3711

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: